

***A First Course in Computing
for ALL Engineering Students***

***Yale Patt
The University of Texas at Austin***

What a Computer is to an Engineer (and in particular, a non-Computer Engineer)

- * ***A Tool used to solve problems
(MatLab, for example)***
 - ***Computers process algorithmically***
 - ***Computers process numbers***

- * ***An embedded processor that controls a system
(airplane, factory, heart monitor, traffic flow, etc.)***
 - ***Sensors***
 - ***Actuators***
 - ***Functions***
 - ***Concept of State***

What an Engineer needs to know

-- to Use the tool

-- to design the system

- * ***How the computer works***
- * ***How the numbers are represented***
- * ***How an algorithm "works" on a computer***
- * ***From sensors (inputs) via "programs" to actuators (output)***

What an Engineer does NOT need in this course

* ***Excel***

* ***Word***

* ***Web browsing***

* ***Rote learning of programming***

"Problem solving is programming."

-- Dr. Nick Tredennick

(in Microprocessor Report, May 3, 2004)

**** Engineers have ALWAYS solved problems.
(That's what engineers do.)***

-- We don't describe our engineering problem to a Mathematician, and expect him/her to come up with the equations that specify the problem.

-- We expect the engineer to be able to describe the problem mathematically.

-- In those cases where we do enlist the help of a mathematician, we make sure there is meaningful dialogue between engineer and mathematician.

**** TODAY'S problems are solved by computer programs.***

-- Can we entrust the problem solving task to one who knows nothing about the base technology?

-- Or, should we expect the engineer to be able to describe the problem algorithmically?

-- In those cases where we do enlist the help of a programmer, should we make sure there is meaningful dialogue between engineer and programmer?

Why "Intro to Computing" is Essential to ALL Engineering Curricula (and deserves more than token exposure to programming)

- * ***A Core Competency (like physics, calculus)***
 - ***Use the tool, design the embedded processor***

- * ***Engineering is about design***
 - ***Students can have a meaningful design experience
IF exposed correctly (modified bottom-up)
to Intro to Computing***

- * ***Engineering is about Tradeoffs***
 - ***Lots of examples of tradeoffs in programming
(e.g., recursion vs. iteration)***

- * ***Engineering is about State***
 - ***Lots of examples of state
in computer hardware and software***

- * ***An ACTIVE learning experience in the
freshman year***
 - ***The student programs from scratch***
 - ***The student debugs his own program***
 - ***The student succeeds.***

- * ***Engineers want causal, deterministic systems***
 - ***Everything should make sense***
 - ***Matlab, etc. become obvious next steps***

Why Intro to Programming in X is the Wrong Answer

- * ***Approach is almost always top-down***
 - ***Results in memorizing, not understanding***

- * ***Effects of Memorizing***
 - ***Students don't ever get it.***
 - ***If not 100%, they can't figure out their mistakes***
 - ***Cookbook education***

- * ***Provides no insight into the important tools (Matlab, etc.)***

- * ***Provides no insight into how the embedded processor interacts with their system***

- * ***Provides no real insight into Tradeoffs, State***

What is Important?

- * Top-down design,
Bottom-up learning for understanding***
- * Abstraction is vital, but...***
- * Not bottom-up,
but "motivated" bottom-up***
- * Engineering is about DESIGN,
first understand the components***
- * From Concrete to Abstract
(Dijkstra notwithstanding)***
- * Cut through protective layers***
- * Memorizing is not understanding***
- * Students do better working in groups***